

# **Chapter 4** L2 Handoff Scheme in IEEE 802.11 Wireless Networks

Prof. Yuh-Shyan Chen Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering National Taipei University



#### Outline

- Introduction
- Related work
- DeuceScan: Deuce-based fast handoff scheme
- Performance analysis
- Conclusions





#### Introduction

- In IEEE 802.11 Std., an mobile host (MH) needs to perform a full scan (11 channels) operation during a handoff procedure, and it wastes much time.
- The entire handoff delay time is divided into probe, authentication, and reassociation delay time. The probe delay occupies the most handoff delay time.





## 11 channels in 2.4 GHz

2.412 GHz 6/2.437 GHz 1/2.462 GHz 2/2.417 GHz 7/2.442 GHz 3/2.422 GHz 8/2.447 GHz 4/2.427 GHz 9/2.452 GHz 5/2.432 GHz 10/2.457 GHz







#### Introduction

- This work proposed a fast handoff scheme, called DeuceScan, to reduce the probe delay for 802.11based WLANs.
  - DeuceScan scheme utilizes spatiotemporal graph to provide the spatiotemporal information for making better handoff decisions to exactly search for the next AP.
  - DeuceScan scheme is a pre-scan approach, partialscan approach, and two factors of signal strength and variation of signal strength are both considered in our DeuceScan scheme.



#### **Related Work**

#### For reducing the probe delay time

#### Partial scan

- M. Shin et al., "Improving the Latency of 802.11 Hand-offs using Neighbor Graphs," ACM MobiSys, Jun. 2004.
- S. Shin et al., "Reducing MAC Layer Handoff Latency in IEEE 802.11 Wireless LANs," ACM MobiWac, Sep. 2004.

#### Pre-scan

 I. Ramani *et al.*, "SyncScan: Practical Fast Handoff for 802.11 Infrastructure Networks," IEEE INFOCOM, Mar. 2005.

#### Location-based

C. C. Tseng *et al.*, " Location-based Fast Handoff for 802.11 Networks," IEEE Communication Letters, Apr. 2005.

# CSIE Comparisons of the existing fast handoff protocols

Methods	IEEE 802.11	neighbor	selective scan [18]		SyncScan	location-based	DueceScan
Properties	standard [3]	graph [17]	cache hit	cache miss	[16]	approach [20]	
pre-scan	no	no	no		yes	no	yes
probe action	full scan	partial scan	no	partial scan	no	no	no
handoff time	slow	medium	fast	medium	fast	fast	fast
direction	no	implicitly	no		no	yes	implicitly
location device	no	no	no		no	yes	no
memory usage	1	$O(n \times M)$	<i>O</i> ( <i>n</i> )		O(N)	$O(N \times M)$	$O(n \times M)$

TABLE I COMPARISON OF THE EXISTING FAST HANDOFF PROTOCOLS





## CCSIE DeuceScan: Deuce-based fast handoff scheme

Spatiotemporal graphs

- The DeuceScan fast handoff scheme
  - Deuce procedure with signal strength
  - Deuce procedure with variation of signal strength







Fig. 2. DeuceScan versus SyncScan. (a) DeuceScan ( $\alpha = 1, \beta = 2$ ). (b) Time slot sequences. (c) SyncScan.





#### Spatiotemporal graphs

- Composed of a lot of spatiotemporal triangles which are established at distinct time and places.
  - The current triangle presents the first three closest APs near an MH. (largest RSS)
- Each MH will possess its own individual spatiotemporal graph.





The full pre-scanning operation needs to be performed if an MH enters a new location.





A triangle is constructed by three APs, AP<sub>1</sub>, AP<sub>8</sub>, and AP<sub>11</sub>.







#### The DeuceScan fast handoff scheme

- For reducing the layer-2 handoff latency which utilizes spatiotemporal graphs to provide spatiotemporal information for making better handoff decisions to exactly search for the next AP.
- Adopting two factors of received signal strength and variation of received signal strength.



#### Deuce procedure with signal strength

The deuce procedure is used for confirming whether the RSS received from an MH at some place are stable by continuously probing nearby APs and judges if it needs to change the current spatiotemporal triangle.

• We denote a deuce procedure with signal strength as  $D_{s}(\alpha, \beta)$ .



## Deuce procedure $D_{S}(\alpha, \beta)$

- After initial full scan we select the APs which have the first α +3 strong RSS receiving from the MH to form a scan cycle, and this presents that there are still α APs for selecting if the full scan has a mistake.
- Successive β scan cycles form a deuce window.
  It is stable means that the RSS magnitude order in each scan cycle of a deuce window are the same.



#### An example of $D_{S}(\alpha, \beta)$







(b)  $D_s(2, 3)$ , and (c)  $D_s(2, 3)$ .



# **CSIE** Deuce procedure with variation of signal strength

- When the variation of successive two RSS of an AP is positive, the MH moves away from this AP.
- An MH can be aware of its moving direction (to be close to or to be distant from some APs) and determine which is the next AP when handoff.
- We denote a deuce procedure with variation of RSS as D<sub>V</sub>(α,β).





#### An example of $D_{V}(\alpha,\beta)$

















#### Simulation results

#### Simulator: NCTU-NS simulator

Simulation parameters

Parameter	Value
Number of mobile hosts	500
Number of access points	100~200
Network region	1000 m ×1000 m
Radio propagation range	100 m
Mobility of mobile hosts	5~30 m/s
Pause time of mobile hosts	10 sec





#### **Performance metrics**

- Handoff latency
  - A delay time between an MH moving its association from one AP to another.
- Packet loss
  - The number of all lost packets during handoff of an MH.
- Link quality
  - The average received signal strength of an MH during a period of time.





# **CS** Handoff Latency vs. # of APs



A

# **CS**<sup>1</sup> E Handoff Latency vs. Speed





#### Packet Loss vs. Speed





#### Packet Loss vs. Number Neighbor of APs



# **CS**<sup>1</sup>E Link Quality vs. Speed



34



#### Conclusions

- This paper presents a new fast handoff scheme, called DeuceScan, to reduce the probe delay for 802.11-based WLANs.
- A spatiotemporal approach is developed in DeuceScan scheme to utilize spatiotemporal graph to provide the spatiotemporal information for making better handoff decisions to exactly search for the next AP.



#### Layer-2/3 Fast Handoff Mechanism for VoWi-Fi

## Associate Prof. Yuh-Shyan Chen Department of CSIE National Chung Cheng University


- Introduction
- Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan
- Our Layer-3 Solution:
  P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6
- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





#### Introduction

- Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan
- Our Layer-3 Solution:
  P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6
- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





## Introduction

- To support VoIP (SIP service) over Wi-Fi wireless networks
- To develop a new Layer-2/3 Fast Handoff Mechanism for VoWi-Fi with
  - A cross-layer design (layer-2/layer-3)
  - Low handoff latency (seamless handoff)
  - Keeping session



#### **Our New Achievements**

- Layer-2/3 Fast Handoff Mechanism for VoWi-Fi to improve the handoff latency
  - Layer-2 handoff: DeuceScan scheme
  - Layer-3 handoff: Peer-to-Peer fast handoff scheme
  - Low overhead and low handoff latency







CCCS Layer-2/3 Handoff Latency over WLAN

 Delay due to 802.11 channel scanning for new APs
 Delay due to the 802.11 authentication / association procedure
 Delay due to IP layer movement detection
 Delay due to the Mobile IP registration procedure





#### **Objectives**





#### Introduction

#### Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan

## Our Layer-3 Solution: P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6

- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





## **Candidate-AP Detection Function**

- To provide useful information to cross layer-2/3 handoff scheme
  - DeuceScan
  - HMIPv6 + P2P
- To identify possible candidate APs
  - To utilize signal strength (variation) and moving history



## **CCCS Two Basic Solution - Layer 2** Handoff Process





#### **Selective Scanning**





## **Skip Probe Phase**

To monitor the status of APs beforehand





#### Our Layer 2 Solution: DeuceScan





Introduction

- Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan
- Our Layer-3 Solution:
  P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6
- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





#### Layer 3: Original HMIPv6 Scheme



## CCCSIE Our Layer 3 Solution: P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6





## The Handoff Latency of our Scheme





#### The Flowchart of P2P Solution





#### Peer-to-Peer Fast Handoff -Subnet Awareness Table





#### Peer-to-Peer Fast Handoff -Subnet Awareness Table





#### Peer-to-Peer Fast Handoff -Subnet Awareness Table





#### Peer-to-Peer Fast Handoff -Subnet-Awareness Table





#### Introduction

Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan

# Our Layer-3 Solution: P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6

- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





## **Cross-Layer Design**





## **Comparisons of Handoff Latency**





#### Introduction

- Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan
- Our Layer-3 Solution:
  P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6
- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





## **Comparisons of Handoff Latency**





#### Layer-2 and Layer-3 Handover Latency

The comparison of handover delay (ms)												
	Experiment	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Avg.
Layer-2	Full scan	457	236	434	317	566	321	241	364	216	274	343
	DeuceScan	140	101	141	140	137	139	143	94	142	101	129

-	MIPv6	4963	6606	4814	6637	5457	6021	5720	5980	6816	6606	5962
Layer-3	HMIPv6	2383	2864	2498	2327	2214	2634	2901	2970	3052	2833	2668
	HMIPv6 + P2P	1202	1437	1304	1463	1613	1444	1279	1748	1624	1569	1468





## **Overall Layer-2/3 Handover Latency**

The comparison of layer-2/3 handover delay (ms)												
	Experiment	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Avg.
Layer- 2/3	Full scan + MIPv6	5420	6842	5248	6954	6023	6342	5961	6344	7032	6880	6305
	Full scan + HMIPv6	2840	3100	2932	2644	2780	2955	3142	3334	3268	3107	3010
	DeuceScan + HMIPv6 + P2P	1342	1538	1445	1603	1750	1583	1422	1842	1766	1670	1596





#### **Our Results**





fec0:106:2700: fec0:106:2700: fec0:106:2700: fec0:106:2300: fec0:106:2300:

duted distant

se



#### Introduction

- Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan
- Our Layer-3 Solution:
  P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6
- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





#### 現場佈置圖





#### Introduction

- Our Layer-2 Solution: DeuceScan
- Our Layer-3 Solution:
  P2P Mechanism based on HMIPv6
- Cross-Layer Design
- Experiment Results
- Demonstration
- Conclusion





#### Conclusion

We propose a new handoff strategy, Layer-2/3 Fast Handoff Mechanism for VoWi-Fi

- Layer-2 handover using DeuceScan
- Layer-3 handover using Peer-to-Peer Fast Handoff mechanism
- Combining the advantages of two mechanisms to decreasing handoff latency for VoWi-Fi



# Thank you for your interest in

#### Layer-2/3 Fast Handoff Mechanism for VoWi-Fi

#### 組別: MH2005070 參賽隊伍: 行動者三號







序石	行业	1 看 3 號
隊員	蕭焆	翰 吳昆霖 謝博在 呂振珠
指導老	師	陳裕賢
作品名	秱	Layer-2/3 Fast Handoff Mechanism for VoWi-Fi

近年來、無線網路蓬勃發展、無線網路的應用和產品也如 由後春筍般萌芽、其中最受人瞩目的莫過於結合網際網路 電話(Voice over IP, VoIP)應用,隨著 VoIP的需求快速增加 ,但聲音品質及延遲時間無法完全接受,因此在Wi-Fi下 的 handoff 的問題一直是討論的相關議題,所以如何能在 layer-2 及 layer-3 之間快速 handoff 的又不影響原本程式連 線的品質、這將是此計畫的主要目標。目前 Layer-2的handoff trigger 没Layer-3的Mobile IPv6解决方法尚 不完備,以IETF所提的 Fast MIPv6方法35例,仍有下列电 大的缺點:若是在 current AP 無線訊號強度變弱詞必須更 换到new AP 時 · Layer-2的trigger 所促使激出的 \* Roster Solicitation for Proxy Advertisement \_ 1101@1915.855.00 度太小、面無法被current AP 收到進而轉送至 PAR、 朝鮮 fast handover 的规制就不曾發生作用。加上mobile node 的 移動連度不同定。carrent AP 的訊號品質試驗並不一定表 Aimobile node 要進行 handoff · 因此我們提出一層全對的 技術以 Peer-to-Peer (P2P)為基礎 · 加上cross-layer design来 達到fast handoff的要求。結合現有的技術。 的如用MIPv6 · FMIPv6 · layer-2 trigger · SIP · 提供定款的 handoff 模制 · 以及 VoIP 服務 · 測使用者無論身在回處。 估能享受高品質即時語音系統應用的樂趣




