

## Chapter 9. Broadcast Storm Problem in a Mobile Ad Hoc Network



#### Prof. Yuh-Shyan Chen

Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering National Taipei University Dec. 2006







- Preliminaries
- Mechanisms to Reduce Redundancy, Contention, and Collision
- Performance Simulation
- Conclusion





#### **Preliminaries**

#### • Broadcasting in a MANET

- MANET Mobile Ad Hoc Network
  - No infrastructure
  - Hop by hop
- Broadcast
  - Graph-related problem
  - Distributed computing problem
  - Resolve many network layer problem















#### **Broadcast Storm**



- Synchronization in such a network with mobility is unlikely
- Global network topology information is unavailable to facilitate the scheduling of a broadcast
- One straightforward and obvious solution is broadcasting by flooding







#### **Preliminaries**

- Broadcasting in a MANET
- Broadcast Storm Caused by Flooding
  - Analysis of Redundant Rebroadcasts
  - Analysis of Contention
  - Analysis of Collision



















- In general, we would like to know the benefit of a host rebroadcasting a msg. after hearing the msg. k times.
- The result can be easily obtained from simulation by randomly generating *k* hosts in a host X's transmission range and calculating the ares covered by X excluding those areas already covered by the other k hosts.
- Denote this value by EAC(k) expected additional coverage after heard the same msg k times.







When k > 4, the expected additional coverage is below 5%

















#### *cf*(*n*, *k*) :

the probability that *k* hosts among these *n* hosts experience no contention in their rebroadcasting

simulation by randomly generation n hosts in A's transmission range

The more crowded the area is, the more serious the contention is.







- There is no BS or AP in a MANET, we study mainly the behavior under DCF.
  - CSMA/CA
- There are several reasons for collisions to happen:
  - When the surrounding medium of transmitter has been quiet for a long time
  - No RTS/CTS
  - Without *Collision detection* (CD)











#### Mechanisms to Reduce Redundancy, Contention, and Collision

- Probabilistic Scheme
- Counter-Based Scheme
- Distance-Based Scheme
- Location-Based Scheme
- Cluster-Based Scheme







#### **Probabilistic Scheme**



- Probabilistic rebroadcasting.
  - On receiving a broadcast message for the first time, a host will rebroadcast it with probability *P*.
  - When P = 1, this scheme is equivlent to flooding.
- Insert a small random delay before the rebroadcasting message.





#### **Counter-Based Scheme**



- A host may hear the same message again and again before it actually starts transmitting the message.
- EAC(*k*) the expected additional coverage after hearing the same msg. *k* times.
  - We can prevent a host from rebroadcasting when the EAC(k) of the host's rebroadcast becomes too low.
- A counter threshold C is chosen to keep track of the number of times the broadcast message is received.







#### **Distance-Based Scheme**



- Use the relative distance between hosts to decide whether to drop a rebroadcast or not.
- The distance is shorter, the EAC is smaller.
- A distance threshold **D** is chosen to keep track of the distance to the nearest host from which the same msg is heard.
- The distance information is estimated from the signal strength of a received msg.









#### **Location-Based Scheme**

- GPS may used to acquire the location of those broadcasting hosts.
  - Let the receiving host's location be (0,0)
  - Suppose the k transmitting hosts located at(x<sub>1</sub>,y<sub>1</sub>), (x<sub>2</sub>,y<sub>2</sub>),..., (x<sub>k</sub>,y<sub>k</sub>)
  - Caculate the additional area that can be covered
- Compare the computed additional coverage to a predefined coverage A (0<A<0.61) to determine whether the receiving host should rebroadcast or not.











## **Location-Based Scheme**

- The previous scheme is too costly.
- An alternative is using a convex polygon to determine whether a broadcast should be carried out or not.



- Suppose X received msg from A, B and C
- If X is inside the convex polygon formed by connecting the center of A, B and C, the additional coverage of X's rebroadcast is small or even none.





#### **Location-Based Scheme**



 If a host X is in the convex polygon formed by the locations of previous sending hosts, the additional coverage that the host can provide is well below 22%.

$$\begin{split} & 4 \left[ \int_0^{r/2} \sqrt{r^2 - x^2} \cdot dx - \int_{r/2}^r \sqrt{r^2 - x^2} \cdot dx \right] \\ & = (\sqrt{3} - \frac{\pi}{3}) r^2 \approx 0.22 \pi r^2. \end{split}$$





### **Cluster-Based Scheme**



- Cluster formation algorithm
  - Each host has a unique ID
  - A host with a local minimal ID will elect itself as a cluster head.
  - This head host together with its neighbor will form a cluster.
  - These neighbor hosts are called member of the cluster.





### **Cluster-Based Scheme**



- Cluster formation protocol:
  - A head's rebroadcast can cover all other hosts in that cluster if its transmission experience no collision.
  - Gateway hosts should take the reponsibility to propogate the broadcast msg to hosts in other clusters.
  - There is no need for a non-gateway member to rebroadcast the msg.









- The fixed parameters in the simulations are
  - transmission radius (500 meters)
  - the broadcast packet size (280 bytes)
  - the DSSS physical layer timing (PLCP overhead, slot time, inter-frame separations, backoff window sizing, as suggested in IEEE 801.11)







- map size 1×1, 3×3, 5×5, 7×7, 9×9, 11×11 units (a unit is of length 500 meters)
- 100 mobile hosts.
- initially, hosts are randomly distributed over a map.
- to simulate host mobility, each host will roam around randomly in the map during the simulation.





- The roaming pattern of a host is simulated by generating a series of **turns**.
- In each turn, a direction, a velocity, and a time interval are generated.
- the **direction** is uniformly distributed from  $0^{\circ} \sim 360^{\circ}$
- the time interval uniformly distributed from 1 to 100 seconds
- the **velocity** is randomly chosen
  - from 0 to 10 km/hr in a  $1 \times 1$  map
  - from 0 to 30 km/hr in a 3×3 map
  - from 0 to 50 km/hr in a 5×5 map
  - from 0 to 70 km/hr in a  $7\times7$  map







- the arrival rate for the whole map is one broadcast request per second.
- the broadcasting host is randomly picked for each request.
- a small random delay ranging from 0 to 31 slots is inserted before each attempt at rebroadcasting.







- The performance metrics to be observed are:
  - REachability (*RE*)
    - The number of mobile hosts receiving the broadcast msg divided by the total number of mobile hosts that are reachable, directly or indirectly, from the source host.
  - Saved ReBroadcast (SRB)
    - (*r*-*t*)/*r*, where r is the number of hosts receiving the broadcast message, and t is the number of hosts that actually transmitted the message.
  - Average Latency
    - The interval from the time the broadcast was initiated to the time the last host finished its rebroadcasting.







#### **Performance Simulation**



- Performance of the Probabilistic, Counter-Based, Distance-Based, Location-Based, and Cluster-Based Schemes
- The Relationship between **RE** and **SRB**
- The Effect of Load (arrival rate)



# Performance of the Probabilistic Scheme



- 1. Fig.8(a) In a small map, a small *P* is sufficient to achieve high *RE*.
- Fig.8(a) The amount of SRB decreases, roughly proportionally to (1-*P*), as *P* increase.
- 3. Fig.8(b) MANET with sparser hosts tends to complete broadcasting more quickly. (collision and contention)





# Performance of Counter-Based Scheme

- Fig.9(a) The *RE* in fact reaches about the same level when the counter threshold *C*>=3.
- Fig.9(a) Various levels of SRB can be obtained over the flooding scheme, depending in the density of hosts in a map.
- Fig.9(a) When the map is very sparse(11×11) and C is very large, the amount of saving decreases sharply.





# Performance of Distance-Based Scheme



- When D is small enough, the network's behavior is very close to one using flooding.
- By comparing Fig.9(a) and Fig.10(a), we observed that distance-based scheme can provide better *RE*, but worse *SRB*.
- Its Latency is higher because a host may heard a msg many times but still decide to rebroadcast it because none of the transmission distances are below D.



### Performance of Location-Based Scheme



- Fig.11(a) By comparing with the distance-based and counterbased schemes, the location-based scheme has the best RE and SRB.
- Fig.11(a) Because the location-based scheme use the most accurate information to determine the additional coverage.





# Performance of Cluster-Based Scheme



- Fig.12(a) Unfortunately, the *RE* is unacceptable at sparser areas.
  - This is probability because when the number of hosts participating in rebroadcasting is reduced, the collision caused by the hidden terminal problem will significantly reduce the chance of successful transmission.





# The relationship between RE and SRB







# The relationship between RE and SRB







# The relationship between RE and SRB







#### **The Effect of Load**



#### Counter-Based scheme

• With different arrival rate:

# Larger RPS cause more contention and collision



![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

The larger map can distribute the broadcast requests to larger physical areas, and thus lower the severity of contention and collision caused by rebroadcasting.

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The SRB will decrease as the load increases in a 1X1 map, this means that there are more hosts trying to help rebroadcast the broadcast packets.
- But the level of RE keeps on going down as load increases.
- Fortunately, in larger maps the SRB remains almost unchanged as load increases.

![](_page_44_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

A problem storm problem is analysis and investigated

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)