Chapter 3: Introduction to Bluetooth

Prof. Yuh-Shyan Chen
Department of CSIE
National Taipei University






Bluetooth

Idea

- □ Universal radio interface for ad-hoc wireless connectivity
- □ Interconnecting computer and peripherals, handheld devices, PDAs, cell phones replacement of IrDA
- □ Embedded in other devices, goal: 5€/device (2002: 50€/USB bluetooth)
- □ Short range (10 m), low power consumption, license-free 2.45 GHz ISM
- □ Voice and data transmission, approx. 1 Mbit/s gross data rate











Bluetooth

History

- □ 1994: Ericsson (Mattison/Haartsen), "MC-link" project
- □ Renaming of the project: Bluetooth according to Harald "Blåtand" Gormsen [son of Gorm], King of Denmark in the 10th century
- □ 1999: erection of a rune stone at Ercisson/Lund ;-)
- □ 2001: first consumer products for mass market, spec. version 1.1 released


Special Interest Group

- Original founding members: Ericsson, Intel, IBM, Nokia, Toshiba
- □ Added promoters: 3Com, Agere (was: Lucent), Microsoft, Motorola
- □ > 2500 members
- Common specification and certification of products













一· 藍牙歷史和應用


在很久很久以前,丹麥一個叫哈拉爾德(Harald Gormsson)的海盗,通過自己的力量統一了北歐四 分五裂的國家,後來成為國王(有點像秦始皇)。 這個國王喜歡吃藍梅,牙齒常常被染成藍色,由此 人們都叫他"藍牙"(Bluetooth)(他的名字也可 能來源於丹麥文"bla",意思是黑色皮膚的,或" 棕褐色的",象徵著偉大的人物。)。 公元1998 年,還是在北歐,一個叫愛立信的公司提出一種無 線通信技術,為了使這種技術"一統天下",取名 "藍牙"。 "藍牙"技術正是取自10世紀丹麥國 王哈拉爾德的別名。





History and hi-tech...









...and the real rune stone



Located in Jelling, Denmark, erected by King Harald "Blåtand" in memory of his parents.

The stone has three sides – one side showing a picture of Christ.

Inscription:

"Harald king executes these sepulchral monuments after Gorm, his father and Thyra, his mother. The Harald who won the whole of Denmark and Norway and turned the Danes to Christianity."

Btw: Blåtand means "of dark complexion" (not having a blue tooth...)

This could be the "original" colors of the stone.
Inscription:
"auk tani karthi kristna" (and made the Danes Christians)





Characteristics

- 2.4 GHz ISM band, 79 (23) RF channels, 1 MHz carrier spacing
 - ☐ Channel 0: 2402 MHz ... channel 78: 2480 MHz
 - □ G-FSK modulation, 1-100 mW (miniwatt, a unit of power equal to one thousandth of a watt) transmit power

FHSS and TDD

- □ Frequency hopping with 1600 hops/s
- □ Hopping sequence in a pseudo random fashion, determined by a master
- ☐ Time division duplex for send/receive separation
- Voice link SCO (Synchronous Connection Oriented)
 - □ FEC (forward error correction), no retransmission, 64 kbit/s duplex, point-to-point, circuit switched
- Data link ACL (Asynchronous ConnectionLess)
 - □ Asynchronous, fast acknowledge, point-to-multipoint, up to 433.9 kbit/s symmetric or 723.2/57.6 kbit/s asymmetric, packet switched

Topology

Overlapping piconets (stars) forming a scatternet





Piconet

Collection of devices connected in an ad hoc fashion


One unit acts as master and the others as slaves for the lifetime of the piconet

Master determines hopping pattern, slaves have to synchronize

Each piconet has a unique hopping pattern

Participation in a piconet = synchronization to hopping sequence

Each piconet has one master and up to 7 simultaneous slaves (> 200 could be parked)



M=Master S=Slave

P=Parked SB=Standby






Forming a piconet


All devices in a piconet hop together

- Master gives slaves its clock and device ID
 - Hopping pattern: determined by device ID (48 bit, unique worldwide)
 - Phase in hopping pattern determined by clock

Addressing

- □ Active Member Address (AMA, 3 bit)
- □ Parked Member Address (PMA, 8 bit)









Scatternet

Linking of multiple co-located piconets through the sharing of common master or slave devices

□ Devices can be slave in one piconet and master of another Communication between piconets

□ Devices jumping back and forth between the piconets


Piconets
(each with a capacity of < 1 Mbit/s)

M=Master
S=Slave
P=Parked
SB=Standby





Bluetooth protocol stack



AT: attention sequence OBEX: object exchange

TCS BIN: telephony control protocol specification - binary


BNEP: Bluetooth network encapsulation protocol

SDP: service discovery protocol RFCOMM: radio frequency comm.





Frequency selection during data transmission







Property

The master always uses the even frequency slots, and odd slots are for the slaves.






Baseband

Piconet/channel definition

Low-level packet definition

- □ Access code
 - Channel, device access, e.g., derived from master
- □ Packet header
 - 1/3-FEC, active member address (broadcast + 7 slaves), link type, alternating bit ARQ/SEQ, checksum







SCO payload types

	payload (30)							
HV1	audio (10)	FEC (20)						
HV2	audio	FEC (10)						
HV3	audio (30)							
DV	audio (10)	header (1)	payload (0-9)	2/3 FEC	CRC (2)			
	(bytes)							





ACL Payload types

	payload (0-343)							
	header	(1/2)	payload (0-339)					CRC (2)
DM1	header (1)	payload (0-17) 2/3 FEC			EC	CRC (2)		
DH1	header (1)		payload (0-27)			CRC (2)		(bytes)
							1	
DM3	header	(2)	payload (0-121) 2/3			3 FEC	CRC (2)	
DH3	header	(2)	payload (0-183)				CRC (2)	
DM5	header (2)		payload (0-224)			2/3 FEC		CRC (2)
DH5	header	(2)	payload (0-339)			CRC (2)		
AUX1	header (1)		payload (0-29)					





Baseband data rates


ACL	Туре	Payload Header [byte]	User Payload [byte]	FEC	CRC	Symmetric max. Rate [kbit/s]	Asymmetri max. Rate Forward	_
1 slot	DM1	1	0-17	2/3	yes	108.8	108.8	108.8
l	DH1	1	0-27	no	yes	172.8	172.8	172.8
3 slot {	DM3	2	0-121	2/3	yes	258.1	387.2	54.4
3 5101	DH3	2	0-183	no	yes	390.4	585.6	86.4
E alat	DM5	2	0-224	2/3	yes	286.7	477.8	36.3
5 slot {	DH5	2	0-339	no	yes	433.9	723.2	57.6
	AUX1	1	0-29	no	no	185.6	185.6	185.6
ſ	HV1	na	10	1/3	no	64.0		
SCO	HV2	na	20	2/3	no	64.0		
SCO {	HV3	na	30	no	no	64.0		
· ·	DV	1 D	10+(0-9) D	2/3 D	yes D	64.0+57.6 E		


Data Medium/High rate, High-quality Voice, Data and Voice





Example










Baseband link types

- Polling-based TDD packet transmission
 - □ 625µs slots, master polls slaves
- SCO (Synchronous Connection Oriented) Voice
 - □ Periodic single slot packet assignment, 64 kbit/s full-duplex, point-to-point
- ACL (Asynchronous ConnectionLess) Data
 - □ Variable packet size (1,3,5 slots), asymmetric bandwidth, point-to-multipoint







Robustness

Slow frequency hopping with hopping patterns determined by a master

- □ Protection from interference on certain frequencies
- □ Separation from other piconets (FH-CDMA)


Retransmission

□ ACL only, very fast Error in payload (not header!) Forward Error Correction NAK **ACK** □ SCO and ACL Н **MASTER SLAVE 1** Е **SLAVE 2**





Baseband states of a Bluetooth device



AMA: 3-bit active member address

Standby: do nothing

Inquire: search for other devices
Page: connect to a specific device
Connected: participate in a piconet

Park: release AMA, get PMA

Sniff: listen periodically, not each slot

Hold: stop ACL, SCO still possible, possibly

participate in another piconet






Example: Power consumption/CSR BlueCore2

Typical Average Current Consumption (1)	mini ampere = 10 ⁻³ ampere	
VDD=1.8V Temperature = 20°C		
Mode		
SCO connection HV3 (1s interval Sniff Mode) (Slave)	26.0 mA	
SCO connection HV3 (1s interval Sniff Mode) (Master)	26.0 mA	
SCO connection HV1 (Slave)	53.0 mA	
SCO connection HV1 (Master)	53.0 mA	
ACL data transfer 115.2kbps UART (Master)	15.5 mA	
ACL data transfer 720kbps USB (Slave)	53.0 mA	
ACL data transfer 720kbps USB (Master)	53.0 mA	
ACL connection, Sniff Mode 40ms interval, 38.4kbps UART	4.0 mA	
ACL connection, Sniff Mode 1.28s interval, 38.4kbps UART	0.5 mA	
Parked Slave, 1.28s beacon interval, 38.4kbps UART	0.6 mA	
Standby Mode (Connected to host, no RF activity)	47.0 µA	
Deep Sleep Mode(2)	20.0 μΑ	

Notes:

- (1) Current consumption is the sum of both BC212015A and the flash.
- (2) Current consumption is for the BC212015A device only.


(More: www.csr.com)









Example: Bluetooth/USB adapter (2002: 50€)













L2CAP - Logical Link Control and Adaptation Protocol

Simple data link protocol on top of baseband

Connection oriented, connectionless, and signalling channels

Protocol multiplexing

□ RFCOMM, SDP, telephony control

Segmentation & reassembly

□ Up to 64kbyte user data, 16 bit CRC used from baseband

QoS flow specification per channel

□ Follows RFC 1363, specifies delay, jitter, bursts, bandwidth


Group abstraction

□ Create/close group, add/remove member





L2CAP logical channels







L2CAP packet formats


Connectionless PDU



Connection-oriented PDU

2	2	0-65535	bytes
length	CID	payload	


Signalling command PDU







Security







SDP – Service Discovery Protocol

Inquiry/response protocol for discovering services

- Searching for and browsing services in radio proximity
- □ Adapted to the highly dynamic environment
- □ Can be complemented by others like SLP, Jini, Salutation, ...
- □ Defines discovery only, not the usage of services
- □ Caching of discovered services
- Gradual discovery

Service record format

- □ Information about services provided by attributes
- □ Attributes are composed of an 16 bit ID (name) and a value
- □ values may be derived from 128 bit Universally Unique Identifiers (UUID)





Additional protocols to support legacy protocols/apps.

RFCOMM

- □ Emulation of a serial port (supports a large base of legacy applications)
- □ Allows multiple ports over a single physical channel

Telephony Control Protocol Specification (TCS)

- □ Call control (setup, release)
- □ Group management

OBEX

□ Exchange of objects, IrDA replacement

WAP

□ Interacting with applications on cellular phones





Profiles

Represent default solutions for a certain usage model

- □ Vertical slice through the protocol stack
- Basis for interoperability

Generic Access Profile

Service Discovery Application Profile

Cordless Telephony Profile

Intercom Profile

Serial Port Profile

Headset Profile

Dial-up Networking Profile

Fax Profile


LAN Access Profile

Generic Object Exchange Profile

Object Push Profile

File Transfer Profile

Synchronization Profile



Profiles

Additional Profiles

Advanced Audio Distribution

PAN

Audio Video Remote Control

Basic Printing

Basic Imaging

Extended Service Discovery

Generic Audio Video Distribution

Hands Free

Hardcopy Cable Replacement





WPAN: IEEE 802.15-1 - Bluetooth

Data rate

- □ Synchronous, connection-oriented: 64 kbit/s
- □ Asynchronous, connectionless
 - 433.9 kbit/s symmetric
 - 723.2 / 57.6 kbit/s asymmetric

Transmission range

- □ POS (Personal Operating Space) up to 10 m
- □ with special transceivers up to 100 m

Frequency

☐ Free 2.4 GHz ISM-band

Security

Challenge/response (SAFER+), hopping sequence

Cost

□ 50€ adapter, drop to 5€ if integrated

Availability

Integrated into some products, several vendors

Connection set-up time

- □ Depends on power-mode
- Max. 2.56s, avg. 0.64s

Quality of Service

□ Guarantees, ARQ/FEC

Manageability

 Public/private keys needed, key management not specified, simple system integration

Special Advantages/Disadvantages

- Advantage: already integrated into several products, available worldwide, free ISM-band, several vendors, simple system, simple ad-hoc networking, peer to peer, scatternets
- Disadvantage: interference on ISM-band, limited range, max. 8 devices/network&master, high set-up latency





WPAN: IEEE 802.15 – future developments 1

802.15-2: Coexistance

□ Coexistence of Wireless Personal Area Networks (802.15) and Wireless Local Area Networks (802.11), quantify the mutual interference

802.15-3: High-Rate

- □ Standard for high-rate (20Mbit/s or greater) WPANs, while still low-power/low-cost
- □ Data Rates: 11, 22, 33, 44, 55 Mbit/s
- Quality of Service isochronous protocol
- □ Ad hoc peer-to-peer networking
- □ Security
- □ Low power consumption
- □ Low cost
- Designed to meet the demanding requirements of portable consumer imaging and multimedia applications





WPAN: IEEE 802.15 – future developments 2

802.15-4: Low-Rate, Very Low-Power

- □ Low data rate solution with multi-month to multi-year battery life and very low complexity
- □ Potential applications are sensors, interactive toys, smart badges, remote controls, and home automation
- □ Data rates of 20-250 kbit/s, latency down to 15 ms
- Master-Slave or Peer-to-Peer operation
- □ Support for critical latency devices, such as joysticks
- □ CSMA/CA channel access (data centric), slotted (beacon) or unslotted
- □ Automatic network establishment by the PAN coordinator
- Dynamic device addressing, flexible addressing format
- □ Fully handshaked protocol for transfer reliability
- □ Power management to ensure low power consumption
- □ 16 channels in the 2.4 GHz ISM band, 10 channels in the 915 MHz US ISM band and one channel in the European 868 MHz band





ZigBee

- **ZigBee** is a <u>specification</u> for a suite of high level communication protocols using small, low-power <u>digital radios</u> based on the <u>IEEE</u> 802.15.4-2003 <u>standard</u> for <u>wireless personal area networks</u> (WPANs), such as wireless headphones connecting with cell phones via short-range radio.
- The technology defined by the <u>ZigBee specification</u> is intended to be simpler and less expensive than other <u>WPANs</u>, such as <u>Bluetooth</u>. ZigBee is targeted at <u>radio-frequency</u> (RF) applications that require a low data rate, long battery life, and secure networking.





WLAN: Home RF

Data rate

□ 0.8, 1.6, 5, 10 Mbit/s

Transmission range

□ 300m outdoor, 30m indoor

Frequency

□ 2.4 GHz ISM

Security

□ Strong encryption, no open access

Cost

□ Adapter 130€, base station 230€

Availability

Several products from different vendors Connection set-up time

□ 10 ms bounded latency

Quality of Service

□ Up to 8 streams A/V, up to 8 voice streams, priorities, best-effort

Manageability

☐ Like DECT & 802-LANs

Special Advantages/Disadvantages

 Advantage: extended QoS support, host/client and peer/peer, power saving, security

 Disadvantage: future uncertain due to DECT-only devices plus 802.11a/b for data





RF Controllers – ISM bands

Data rate

□ Typ. up to 115 kbit/s (serial interface)

Transmission range

□ 5-100 m, depending on power (typ. 10-500 mW)

Frequency

□ Typ. 27 (EU, US), 315 (US), 418 (EU), 426 (Japan), 433 (EU), 868 (EU), 915 (US) MHz (depending on regulations)

Security

Some products with added processors

Cost

□ Cheap: 10€-50€

Availability

Many products, many vendors

Connection set-up time

□ N/A

Quality of Service

□ none

Manageability

Very simple, same as serial interface

Special Advantages/Disadvantages

- Advantage: very low cost, large experience, high volume available
- □ Disadvantage: no QoS, crowded ISM bands (particularly 27 and 433 MHz), typ. no Medium Access Control, 418 MHz experiences interference with TETRA





RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (1)

Data rate

- ☐ Transmission of ID only (e.g., 48 bit, 64kbit, 1 Mbit)
- □ 9.6 115 kbit/s

Transmission range

- □ Passive: up to 3 m
- □ Active: up to 30-100 m
- □ Simultaneous detection of up to, e.g., 256 tags, scanning of, e.g., 40 tags/s

Frequency

125 kHz, 13.56 MHz, 433 MHz, 2.4 GHz,5.8 GHz and many others

Security

□ Application dependent, typ. no crypt. on RFID device

Cost

□ Very cheap tags, down to 1€ (passive)

Availability

Many products, many vendors

Connection set-up time

 □ Depends on product/medium access scheme (typ. 2 ms per device)

Quality of Service

□ none

Manageability

Very simple, same as serial interface

Special Advantages/Disadvantages

- □ Advantage: extremely low cost, large experience, high volume available, no power for passive RFIDs needed, large variety of products, relative speeds up to 300 km/h, broad temp. range
- Disadvantage: no QoS, simple denial of service, crowded ISM bands, typ. oneway (activation/ transmission of ID)





RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (2)

Function

- □ Standard: In response to a radio interrogation signal from a reader (base station) the RFID tags transmit their ID
- □ Enhanced: additionally data can be sent to the tags, different media access schemes (collision avoidance)

Features

- □ No line-of sight required (compared to, e.g., laser scanners)
- □ RFID tags withstand difficult environmental conditions (sunlight, cold, frost, dirt etc.)
- □ Products available with read/write memory, smart-card capabilities

Categories

- □ Passive RFID: operating power comes from the reader over the air which is feasible up to distances of 3 m, low price (1€)
- □ Active RFID: battery powered, distances up to 100 m





NFC (Near Field Communication (NFC))

■ NFC Mobile Handsets

- NFC in handsets is a market in waiting. Since the technology was first aimed at integration into mobile handsets there have been significant expectations for adoption and rollout.
- While NFC supports and enables multiple applications on handsets, it is payment and ticketing that have driven much of the interest and complexity within its development path towards mass adoption.
- That has pushed out the standards development and the business partnership requirements for establishing mass NFC deployment. While trials and pilots by MNOs investigating investment in broad NFC rollouts will continue for the next 12—18 months, alternative applications and interim technologies are emerging to bridge the gap between mobile handsets and contactless applications.





RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (3)

Applications

- □ Total asset visibility: tracking of goods during manufacturing, localization of pallets, goods etc.
- Loyalty cards: customers use RFID tags for payment at, e.g., gas stations, collection of buying patterns
- □ Automated toll collection: RFIDs mounted in windshields allow commuters to drive through toll plazas without stopping
- □ Others: access control, animal identification, tracking of hazardous material, inventory control, warehouse management, ...

Local Positioning Systems

- □ GPS useless indoors or underground, problematic in cities with high buildings
- □ RFID tags transmit signals, receivers estimate the tag location by measuring the signal's time of flight





RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (4)

Security

- □ Denial-of-Service attacks are always possible
 - Interference of the wireless transmission, shielding of transceivers
- □ IDs via manufacturing or one time programming
- □ Key exchange via, e.g., RSA possible, encryption via, e.g., AES

Future Trends

- □ RTLS: Real-Time Locating System big efforts to make total asset visibility come true
- Integration of RFID technology into the manufacturing, distribution and logistics chain
- Creation of "electronic manifests" at item or package level (embedded inexpensive passive RFID tags)
- □ 3D tracking of children, patients





RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (5)

Devices and Companies

- □ AXCESS Inc., www.axcessinc.com
- □ Checkpoint Systems Group, www.checkpointsystems.com
- □ GEMPLUS, www.gemplus.com/app/smart_tracking
- □ Intermec/Intellitag, www.intermec.com
- □ I-Ray Technologies, www.i-ray.com
- □ RF Code, www.rfcode.com
- □ Texas Instruments, www.ti-rfid.com/id
- □ WhereNet, www.wherenet.com
- □ Wireless Mountain, www.wirelessmountain.com
- □ XCI, www.xci-inc.com

Only a very small selection...





RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (6)

Example Product: Intermec RFID UHF OEM Reader

- □ Read range up to 7m
- □ Anticollision algorithm allows for scanning of 40 tags per second regardless of the number of tags within the reading zone
- □ US: unlicensed 915 MHz, Frequency Hopping
- □ Read: 8 byte < 32 ms
- □ Write: 1 byte < 100ms</p>



- □ Proprietary sparse code anti-collision algorithm
- □ Detection range 15 m indoor, 100 m line-of-sight
- □ > 1 billion distinct codes
- □ Read rate > 75 tags/s
- □ Operates at 308 MHz









RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (7)

Relevant Standards

- American National Standards Institute
 - ANSI, www.ansi.org, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/ANSIT6.html
- Automatic Identification and Data Capture Techniques
 - JTC 1/SC 31, www.uc-council.com/sc31/home.htm, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/sc31.htm
- European Radiocommunications Office
 - ERO, www.ero.dk, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/ERO.htm
- European Telecommunications Standards Institute
 - ETSI, www.etsi.org, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/ETSI.htm
- Identification Cards and related devices
 - JTC 1/SC 17, www.sc17.com, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/sc17.htm,
- Identification and communication
 - ISO TC 104 / SC 4, www.autoid.org/tc104_sc4_wg2.htm, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/TC104.htm
- □ Road Transport and Traffic Telematics
 - CEN TC 278, www.nni.nl, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/CENTC278.htm
- Transport Information and Control Systems
 - ISO/TC204, www.sae.org/technicalcommittees/gits.htm, www.aimglobal.org/standards/rfidstds/ISOTC204.htm





RFID – Radio Frequency Identification (8)

ISO Standards

- □ ISO 15418
 - MH10.8.2 Data Identifiers
 - EAN.UCC Application Identifiers
- □ ISO 15434 Syntax for High Capacity ADC Media
- □ ISO 15962 Transfer Syntax
- □ ISO 18000
 - Part 2, 125-135 kHz
 - Part 3, 13.56 MHz
 - Part 4, 2.45 GHz
 - Part 5, 5.8 GHz
 - Part 6, UHF (860-930 MHz, 433 MHz)
- □ ISO 18047 RFID Device Conformance Test Methods
- □ ISO 18046 RF Tag and Interrogator Performance Test Methods





ISM band interference

Many sources of interference

- Microwave ovens, microwave lightning
- □ 802.11, 802.11b, 802.11g, 802.15, Home RF
- □ Even analog TV transmission, surveillance
- □ Unlicensed metropolitan area networks
- **...**

Levels of interference

- □ Physical layer: interference acts like noise
 - Spread spectrum tries to minimize this
 - FEC/interleaving tries to correct
- MAC layer: algorithms not harmonized
 - E.g., Bluetooth might confuse 802.11

OLD




© Fusion Lighting, Inc.





802.11 vs.(?) 802.15/Bluetooth

Bluetooth may act like a rogue member of the 802.11 network



IEEE 802.15-2 discusses these problems

- Proposal: Adaptive Frequency Hopping
 - a non-collaborative Coexistence Mechanism

Real effects? Many different opinions, publications, tests, formulae, ...

- □ Results from complete breakdown to almost no effect
- □ Bluetooth (FHSS) seems more robust than 802.11b (DSSS)





Homework #3:

- 1. What's piconet and scatternet in Bluetooth networks?
- 2. What's ACL and SCO in Bluetooth networks?



